Document 9

Filed 02/08/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:08-cv-00372-MMC

Case 3:08-cv-00372-MMC Document 9 Filed 02/08/2008 Page 2 of 3 1 Manufacturing, Co., Ltd.'s (collectively, "BCD") Complaint shall be extended up to and including 2 April 4, 2008. 3 The reason for the requested modification in the schedule is that BCD has filed a motion to dismiss an earlier filed matter between the parties in Delaware involving the same three patents at 4 5 issue in this case. The parties are still briefing that motion, and no hearing has been set, but BCD 6 has stated that it will dismiss this action if the Delaware Court denies BCD's motion to dismiss. 7 There have not been any previous time modifications in this case by stipulation. Granting 8 this request for time modification will not impact any scheduled event in the case other than those 9 provided for above. 10 Dated February 8, 2008 11 By: /s/ Michael R. Headley 12 Michael R. Headley FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 13 Attorneys for 14 POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. 15 16 /s/ Erik R. Puknys Erik R. Puknys 17 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW. GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 18 19 Attorneys for BCD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION 20 and SHANGHAI SIM-BCD SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING, 21 CO., LTD. 22 23 24 111 25 111

26

27

28

111

	Case 3:08-cv-00372-MMC
1	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty
2	of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Erik R. Puknys.
3	
4	Dated: February 8, 2008
5	/s/ Michael R. Headley Michael R. Headley
6	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
7 8	Attorneys for POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.
9	ORDER
10	ORDER
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	Dated:
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	50464347.doc
15	
16	·
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	,
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	3